Basic Ouestionnaire

Course "Methods of evaluation research"

Universitätsprojekt Lehrevaluation www.ule.uni-jena.de

Prof. Dr. Werner Beispieldozent

Winter Semester 2021/22

Subject Sample Description

Gender	Ν	%
female	21	50
male	21	50
diverse	0	0
not applicable	0	0
Total	42	100
Type of Degree	Ν	%
B.A. major subject	0	0
B.A. minor subject	0	0
B.Sc.	4	10
Teacher Training	0	0
M.A.	0	0
M.Sc.	36	86
State Examination (not Teacher Training)	0	0
other	0	0
not applicable	2	5
Total	42	100

Workload

	Instr.			Cours	e		Refe	rence
	target	Ν	М	Md	Min	Max	Inst.	Uni.
1 How many hours do you spend on self-study for this course per week?	n/a	41	10.5	8.0	1.0	40.0	2.3	3.6

Course Evaluation


Brief Summary of the Online-Survey from 2021-10-12 to 2021-10-19 (N=42)



Overall Satisfaction

		(1 = disagree 5 = agree)				
		1	2	3	4	5
1	Overall, I am satisfied with this course.			19%	24%	55%
2	Overall, I am satisfied with the competencies acquired in this course.			14%	36%	48%
3	Overall, I am satisfied with the contribution of the lecturer to the course.			7%	14%	79%
4	Overall, I am satisfied with the behaviour of most of the partic- ipants.			10%	24%	67%

Overall Assessment



Competencies and Skills

Bv	By attending this course I have extended my compe-		(1 = not at all 5 = very much)						
	ncies in the following areas:	1	2	3	4	5			
1	Knowledge about facts and definitions			21%	▲ ▼ 33%	40%			
2	Knowledge about theories and models			29%	△ ∇ 24%	41%			
3	Knowledge of research procedures and scientific methods			21%	31%	43%			
4	Application of knowledge, theories, and methods			19%	¥0%	36%			
5	Practical knowledge, knowledge relevant to the job		7%	22%	▽ 29%	41%			
6	Key competencies (presenting, working in a team, literature research,)			29%	₩	33%			
7	Working independently		14%	14%	2.0%	31%			

Behaviour of the Lecturer

		(1 = disagree 5 = agree)						
The lecturer		1	2	3	4	5		
has presented the aims and structure of the course in prehensible way.	a com-			12%	17%	X 71%		
2 puts individual aspects into an overall thematic contex	t.			22%	15%	61%		
3 seems to be well prepared.				14%	10%	76%		
4 shows a keen interest in the learning success of the st	udents.				24%	71%		
5 takes up the participants' content-related suggestions questions.	and				14%	81%		
is available for queries and further assistance if require	ed.				24%	X 71%		
7 creates a stimulating working atmosphere.				10%	24%	67%		
8 radiates enthusiasm for the science represented.				7%	31%	60%		

Behaviour of the Participants

	As a participant of this course, I …		(1 = disagree 5 = agree)						
As			2	3	4	5			
1	prepared myself appropriately for the individual sessions.			24%	► 26%	48%			
2	actively participated in the course (questions, comments, discussions).			17%	♥ ▲ 40%	36%			
3	perceived the interaction among the participants as respect- ful.				24%	71%			

Comments (selection)

What did you particularly like about this course?

- Structure
- Brushing basic knowledge
- Clarity of professors
- Discussion
- Good review
- Helpful
- I like that direct us into research
- Life building
- New knowledge
- Nice presentations
- Open interactions
- Opportunity to brush up on the basics
- Professor

- Repeating previous knowledge
- Repeating the basic techniques

Which suggestions or ideas for improvement do you have?

- / Better structure (also for presentations and on Moodle)
- Clear website
- Communication of the study structure
- Confidence building seminar
- Handouts
- I would like to suggest that this good work should be continued.
- Less breaks and end a bit earlier
- Maybe it doesn't have to be that basic?
- The lecturers sometimes can't express themselves well.
- Use a grammar check program for writing questions

(2)

(3)